



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,121	04/04/2001	Andy Ming Lee	075.0001	2317
7590 05/17/2004			EXAMINER	
JOYCE LEE, ESQ. ALORICA INC. 14726 RAMONA AVENUE 3rd FLOOR			CORRIELUS, JEAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CNINO,, CA	91791		2172	19
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			 	
	Application No.	Applicant	Λ.	,
Office Action Summany	09/826,121	LEE ET AL	· /\	M
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T Y	
The MAILING DATE of this communication on	Jean M Corrielus	2172		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover	sneet with the corresponde	nce address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, hower ply within the statutory mini d will apply and will expire S tte, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be conside IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	of this communication. 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 I	<u>March 2004</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-fina	l.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1	935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213		
Disposition of Claims	•			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-9,11-18,21-23,25-32,35-37 an</u>	<u>d 39-42</u> is/are pend	ling in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considera	tion.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-9,11-18,21-23,25-32,35-37 an</u>	<u>d 39-42</u> is/are rejec	ted.	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requiren	nent.		
Application Papers		•		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b)☐ obje	cted to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			• • •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the	attached Office Action or fo	rm PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 	•	• (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			tional Stage	
application from the International Burea	•	· ·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified cop	pies not received.		
Attachment(s)				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 tı	nterview Summary (PTO-413)		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Patent Application	on (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ther:	,	
Patent and Trademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/826,121 Page 1

Art Unit: 2172

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is office action is in response the amendment with Request for Continued Examination filed on March 4, 2004, in which claims 1-4, 7-9, 11-18, 21-23, 25-32, 35-37 and 39-42 are presented for further examination.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 7-9, 11-18, 21-23, 25-32, 35-37 and 39-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-4, 7-9, 15-18, 21-23, 29-32 and 35-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siegel et al (hereinafter "Siegel") US Patent Application Publication no.

Art Unit: 2172

200/0077923 in view of Takae et al., (hereinafter "Takae") US Patent Application Publication no. 2002/0040325.

As to claim 1, Siegel discloses the claimed "generating a customer database including customer records, wherein each customer record tracks a customer" as a means of registering each customer information, which includes a record number, warranty information concerning a warranty, a cellular phone number of the customer, an address of the customer and the like (page 3. paragraphs [0031], [0033]); "generating a product database including product records, wherein each product record tracks a customer" a product database which includes product information such as specifications of the various products available from the merchant (page 3, paragraph [0034]), Decreating a plurality of modules for use in the multi-functional customer relationship management tool, wherein each module allows specific access and manipulation of the customer and product database to allow a client representative to track customer contact with the customer, product information and servicing information" as a means for interacting between product database to retrieve product information and checking for new products information (page 3, paragraph [0034]). However, Siegel does not explicitly the use of "receiving a contact from a customer through a telephone call or by an email" "accessing at least one of the plurality of modules in the multi-functional customer relationship management tool to allow a client representative to review previous customer contact, product information and servicing information associated with the customer"; and "allowing the client representative to update the customer database from information received from the customer to add or modify a specific customer record logging the customer contact and recording any new product or warranty

Art Unit: 2172

purchase information service request, return merchandise request or complaint using one of the plurality of modules".

Takae, on the other hand, discloses an analogous system that teaches the claimed "receiving a contact from a customer through a telephone call or by an email" (page 3, paragraph [0057]; page 4, paragraph [0072]) "accessing at least one of the plurality of modules in the multifunctional customer relationship management tool to allow a client representative to review previous customer contact, product information and servicing information associated with the customer" (page 4, paragraph [0072]); and "allowing the client representative to update the customer database from information received from the customer to add or modify a specific customer record logging the customer contact and recording any new product or warranty purchase information service request, return merchandise request or complaint using one of the plurality of modules" ([page 5, paragraphs [0087]-[0099]; page 6, paragraphs [0101]-[[0123]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Siegel's system, wherein the data storage device, provided therein (see Siegel's fig.2, item 250) would incorporated the use of receiving a contact from a customer through a telephone call or by an email"; "accessing at least one of the plurality of modules in the multifunctional customer relationship management tool to allow a client representative to review previous customer contact, product information and servicing information associated with the customer" and "allowing the client representative to update the customer database from information received from the customer to add or modify a specific customer record logging the customer contact and recording any new product or warranty purchase information service request, return merchandise request or complaint using one of the plurality of modules" in the

Art Unit: 2172

conventional manner as taught by Takae. One having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made would have found it motivated to do such a modification because that would

provide user the enhanced capability of requesting a desired process by simply selecting one of

the selective request items.

As to claim 2, Siegel and Takae disclose substantially the invention as claimed. In addition,

Takae discloses the claimed "wherein the plurality of modules available to the client

representative include at least four of a set of modules comprising a customer interaction module

[0167], a warranty administration module [0014], an e-mail module [0057], an inventory

management module [0067]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art of data processing, at the time the present invention was made to combine the teachings of

the cited references. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been

motivated to do such a combination because that would provide Siegel's system the enhanced

capability of maintaining warranty records with all warranty records displayed on a personalized

home page, thereby maintaining a supply of products in inventory database sufficient to meet the

demand for such products.

As to claim 3, Siegel and Thomson disclose substantially the invention as claimed. In addition,

Takae discloses the claimed "transmitting over the network an input page in which the client

representative enters data to update the customer database" [0015], [0192]. Therefore, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing, at the time the present

invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references. One of ordinary skill in the

Page 4

Art Unit: 2172

art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to do such a combination because that would provide Siegel's system the enhanced capability of maintaining warranty records with all warranty records displayed on a personalized home page, thereby maintaining a supply of products in inventory database sufficient to meet the demand for such products.

As to claim 4, Siegel and Takae disclose substantially the invention as claimed. In addition, Takae discloses the claimed "transmitting over the network an input page in which the client representative enters search information to request customer record formation from the customer database" [0157]-[0195]; "receiving the input page transmitted by the client representative including a request for customer record information" [0157]-[0195]; "generating an information page including customer record information for the customer record specified in the received input page" [0157]-[0195]; and "transmitting the information page to the requesting client representative over the network" [0157]-[0195]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing, at the time the present invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to do such a combination because that would provide Siegel's system the enhanced capability of maintaining warranty records with all warranty records displayed on a personalized home page, thereby maintaining a supply of products in inventory database sufficient to meet the demand for such products.

As to claim 7, Takae discloses the claimed "providing problem and solution codes to be selected by the client representative" ([0125]-[0139]); and "recording and additions or modifications in

Art Unit: 2172

either the customer or product record wherein the client representative has access to all customer contact information including prior purchase and prior contacts with the customer" ([0125]-[0139]).

As to claim 8, Siegel and Takae disclose substantially the invention as claimed. In addition, Takae discloses the claimed "wherein the contact with the customers is by e-mail and e-mail and an E-mail module is used to respond back to the customer, wherein the E-mail module scans the E-mail for key words and categorizes the E-mail for appropriate response using predetermined problem and solution codes and E-mail templates are used to form a response back to the customer" ([0079]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing, at the time the present invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to do such a combination because that would provide Siegel's system the enhanced capability of maintaining warranty records with all warranty records displayed on a personalized home page, thereby maintaining a supply of products in inventory database sufficient to meet the demand for such products.

Art Unit: 2172

As to claim 9, Siegel and Takae disclose substantially the invention as claimed. In addition, Siegel discloses the claimed "interlinking with a front end GUI to display the product image and information over the Internet" [0027].

As to claims 15-18, 21-23, 29-32 and 35-37, the limitations of claims 15-18, 21-2329-32 and 35-37 have been noted in the rejection of claims 1-4, and 7-9 above. They are therefore, rejected under the same rationale.

6. Claims 11-14, 25-28 and 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siegel et al (hereinafter "Siegel") US Patent Application Publication no. 200/0077923 in view Takae et al., (hereinafter "Takae") US Patent Application Publication no. 2002/0040325 and view further in view of Thomson et al., (hereinafter "Thomson") US Patent Application Publication no. 2003/0061104.

As to claim 11, neither Siegel nor Takae discloses the claimed "accessing a return merchandise management module"; and "producing a printable sheet with a bar code identifying a returned product using a commercial bar code font to code the bar code".

On the other hand, Thomson discloses the claimed "accessing a return merchandise management module"([0020]-[0022]; [0027]-[0028]; [0053]-[[0059]; [0072]-[0088], [0098]-[[0102]; [0121]-[0122]); and "producing a printable sheet with a bar code identifying a returned product using a commercial bar code font to code the bar code"([0020]-[0022]; [0027]-[0028]; [0053]-[[0059]; [0072]-[0088]; [0098]-[[0102]; [0121]-[0122]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing, at the time the present invention was made to combine

Art Unit: 2172

the teachings of the cited references. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

would have been motivated to do such a combination because that would provide Siegel's

system the enhanced capability of maintaining warranty records with all warranty records

displayed on a personalized home page, thereby maintaining a supply of products in inventory

database sufficient to meet the demand for such products.

As to claim 12, Siegel and Thomson disclose substantially the invention as claimed. In addition,

Thomson discloses the claimed "producing a printable sheet with information on the purchase of

the product"([0020]-[0022]; [0027]-[0028]; [0053]-[[0059]; [0072]-[0088]; [0098]-[[0102];

[0121]-[0122]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data

processing, at the time the present invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited

references. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been

motivated to do such a combination because that would provide Siegel's system the enhanced

capability of maintaining warranty records with all warranty records displayed on a personalized

home page, thereby maintaining a supply of products in inventory database sufficient to meet the

demand for such products.

As to claim 13, Siegel, Takae and Thomson disclose substantially the invention as claimed. In

addition, Thomson discloses the claimed "producing a report based on information from the

customer and product records"([0020]-[0022]; [0027]-[0028]; [0053]-[[0059]; [0072]-[0088];

[0098]-[[0102]; [0121]-[0122]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art of data processing, at the time the present invention was made to combine the teachings of

Art Unit: 2172

the cited references. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to do such a combination because that would provide Siegel's system the enhanced capability of maintaining warranty records with all warranty records displayed on a personalized home page, thereby maintaining a supply of products in inventory database sufficient to meet the demand for such products.

As to claim 14, Siegel, Takae and Thomson disclose substantially the invention as claimed. In addition, Thomson discloses the claimed "interlinking a third party shipping software with the product database" ([0020]-[0022]; [0027]-[0028]; [0053]-[[0059]; [0072]-[0088]; [0098]-[[0102]; [0121]-[0122]); and "updating the product database from information receiving from the third party shipping software to add or modify a specific product record indicating shipping information about the product"([0020]-[0022]; [0027]-[0028]; [0053]-[[0059]; [0072]-[0088]; [0098]-[[0102]; [0121]-[0122]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of data processing, at the time the present invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to do such a combination because that would provide Siegel's system the enhanced capability of maintaining warranty records with all warranty records displayed on a personalized home page, thereby maintaining a supply of products in inventory database sufficient to meet the demand for such products.

As to claims 25-28 and 39-42, the limitations of claims 25-28 and 39-42 have been noted in the rejection of claims 11-14 above. They are therefore, rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2172

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean M. Corrielus whose telephone number is (703) 306-3035. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (12:00pm - 7:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jean M. Corrielus

Patent Examiner

May 12, 2004